

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,439	05/30/2002	Koji Murakami	220902US01PCT	9220
22850 7590 12/24/2003		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			MCKENZIE, THOMAS C	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1624	
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/070,439	MURAKAMI ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas McKenzie Ph.D.	1624				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Thomas McKenzie Ph.D.	(3)	·				
(2) <u>Daniel Pereira, Ph.D.</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>17 December 2003</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>5 and 6</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: none.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ I	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the genereached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	ral nature of what was agreed to e <u>t</u> .	o if an agreement was				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the amendments that v	greed would render the claims would render the claims				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OFFORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	he last Office action has already R THE MAILING DATE OF THI OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The enablement rejection made in point #5 of the previous office action was discussed. Applicants pointed to pages 5 and 6 of the specification concerning use of antagonists and diabetes treatment. The meaning of this passage and its relevance to clinical treatment was not readily apparent. There seemed to be a discrepancy between diabetes and obesity treatment with PPAR receptor antagonists. Treatment of both diseases is in the claims discussed. If Applicants can explain how a compound which blocks the PPAR receptor can treat a disease for which compounds which activate that same PPAR receptor are art-recognized as useful, then the rejection will be withdrawn.